















BRIEFING

The process of Review of the UN Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners

April 2015

In December 2010, the UN General Assembly adopted resolution 65/230, "Twelfth United Nations Congress on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice" which, among other things, requested the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice to establish,

"... an open-ended intergovernmental expert group... to exchange information on best practices, as well as national legislation and existing international law, and on the revision of existing United Nations standard minimum rules for the treatment of prisoners so that they reflect recent advances in correctional science and best practices, with a view to making recommendations to the Commission on possible next steps."

Within the process based on this resolution, Member States concluded that the Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (SMR) are still valid, however they also "recognized the need for some areas of the Standard Minimum Rules to be reviewed." ²

Acknowledging the complexity involved in the complete revision of the Rules, Member States opted for the approach of restricting the substantive redrafting of the SMR to the areas and Rules most outdated. The following areas were identified "for possible consideration in order to ensure that the Rules reflected recent advances in correctional science and best practices:

- (a) Respect for prisoners' inherent dignity and value as human beings;
- (b) Medical and health services:
- (c) Disciplinary action and punishment, including the role of medical staff, solitary confinement and reduction of diet;
- (d) Investigation of all deaths in custody, as well as any signs or allegations of torture or inhuman or degrading treatment of prisoners;
- (e) Protection and special needs of vulnerable groups deprived of their liberty, taking into consideration countries in difficult circumstances;
- (f) The right of access to legal representation;
- (g) Complaints and independent inspection;
- (h) The replacement of outdated terminology;
- (i) Training of relevant staff to implement the Standard Minimum Rules."3

Recommendations and resolutions since the beginning of the process have reiterated the consensus that "any changes to the Rules should not lower any of the existing standards but should reflect recent advances in correctional science and best practices."

¹ UN General Assembly resolution 65/230, "Twelfth United Nations Congress on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice," 21 December 2010, 1 April 2011, A/RES/65/230, para. 10, available at: www.un.org/en/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/65/230, para. 10, available at: www.un.org/en/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/65/230, para. 2014.

² Report on the meeting of the Expert Group on the Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners held in Vienna from 31 January to 2 February 2012, UNODC/CCPCJ/EG.6/2012/1, 16 February 2012, Recommendations 4 and 5, available at: www.un.org/Docs/journal/asp/ws.asp?m=UNODC/CCPCJ/EG.6/2012/1, accessed 23 March 2014.

Ibid., Recommendation 5.
 See UN General Assembly Resolution, 'Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners', UN Doc. A/RES/68/190, 11
 February 2013. Available at www.un.org/en/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/68/190, OP5, accessed 19 January 2015.

Key dates in the revision process

(1) 2011: Preparatory expert meetings

Two preparatory meetings were organised by the UN Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) in Santo Domingo (August 2011) and in Vienna (October 2011). The outcomes from the two meetings were collated by the Justice Section of the UNODC in a "Background Note."

The Note included four options for approaching a revision of the Rules, including "restricting the substantive redrafting of the Rules to an essential minimum," in view of the complexities involved in a complete restructure and substantive redrafting of the Rules. This approach was adopted by the first intergovernmental expert group meeting (IEGM) in Vienna in February 2012.

(2) February 2012: First intergovernmental expert group meeting, Vienna

The first IEGM was held in Vienna from 31 January to 2 February 2012 and attended by 143 representatives from 52 States. 6 The UNODC Background Note (see above) formed the basis of discussions.

The report of the IEGM⁷ submitted to the 21st session of the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice (Crime Commission) "recognized the need for some areas of the Standard Minimum Rules and expressed the "consensus" of delegates that "any changes to the Rules should not to be reviewed,"8 lower any of the existing standards."9 It recommended the continuation of its work, and identified "preliminary areas for possible consideration in order to ensure that the Rules reflected recent advances in correctional science and best practices." 10

(3) April 2012: 21st session of the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice

Based on the recommendations of the IEGM in Vienna, Argentina, Brazil, Italy and Thailand tabled a draft Resolution at the Crime Commission held from 23 to 27 April 2012 in Vienna. The plenary adopted the Resolution "Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners," 11 sponsored by Argentina, Brazil. Italy and Thailand and co-sponsored by 12 other States. 12

It took note and repeated verbatim the list of preliminary areas identified by the IEGM for possible consideration (as quoted above) and underscored "that the requirements and needs of prisoners with disabilities should be duly considered, as applicable, in accordance with the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities." (OP7)

The Resolution extended the mandate of the expert group, with a view to reporting to the 22nd session of the Crime Commission (OP8). Following its adoption by the Crime Commission, the Resolution was adopted by the UN General Assembly on 20 December 2012 (A/RES/67/188). 13

(4) December 2012: Second intergovernmental expert group meeting, Buenos Aires

The second intergovernmental expert group meeting (IEGM) took place from 11 to 13 December 2012 in

⁵ "Background note: Open-Ended Intergovernmental Expert Group Meeting on the United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners, Vienna, 31 January-2 February 2012." Available at www.unodc.org/documents/justice-and-prison-

reform/AGMs/Background note.pdf, accessed 28 May 2012.

⁶ For the full list see Report on the meeting of the Expert Group on the Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners held in Vienna from 31 January to 2 February 2012, UNODC/CCPCJ/EG.6/2012/1, 16 February 2012, para. 9, available at: www.un.org/Docs/journal/asp/ws.asp?m=UNODC/CCPCJ/EG.6/2012/1, accessed 28 May 28, 2012.

7 See footnote 6

⁸ *Ibid.*, Recommendation 5.

⁹ *Ibid.*, Recommendation 4.

¹⁰ See areas identified on page 1.

^{11 &}quot;Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners", UN Doc. E/CN.15/2012/L.4/Rev.2, 24 April 2012. Available at: www.un.org/Docs/journal/asp/ws.asp?m=E/CN.15/2012/L.4/Rev.2, accessed 23 March 2014. Hereinafter reference will be made to the resolution's Operational Paragraphs (OP) within the text.

² Albania, Chile, Croatia, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Germany, Lebanon, Norway, the Philippines, South Africa and

See UN Doc. A/Res/67/188, 12 March 2013. Available at: www.un.org/Docs/journal/asp/ws.asp?m=A/Res/67/188, accessed 21 January 2015, following endorsement endorsed by the UN Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC) on 10 August 2012 (E/RES/2012/13).

Buenos Aires, based on a Working Paper¹⁴ prepared by the UNODC Secretariat which examined in detail the developments in relevant international law and standards.

Fifty contributions had been submitted ahead of the IEGM by 41 Member States and one non-Member State with UN observer status, and a compilation was provided by the UNODC Secretariat. ¹⁵ Submissions to the IEGM also included a paper by the UN Subcommittee on the Prevention of Torture, ¹⁶ the "Summary of an Expert Meeting at the University of Essex on the Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners Review," ¹⁷ (so-called 'Essex paper') and other submissions by non-governmental organisations. ¹⁸

The meeting was attended by 76 representatives from 28 States. Other participants included the Office of the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), the UN Subcommittee on the Prevention of Torture, the Council of Europe, representatives of the institutes of the UN crime prevention and criminal justice programme network, independent experts and NGOs with ECOSOC status.

The expert group made substantive progress in identifying the Rules, issues and elements for further consideration (para. 6) and took note of the various contributions including from Member States, the 'Essex paper' and NGO submissions.

The expert group submitted its report and recommendations to the Crime Commission held in April 201319 and recommended an extension of its mandate, or a referral to a drafting group of Member States (para. 3). It further recommended for the Secretariat to be requested "to prepare an on-going consolidated text of the Rules for discussion at a further meeting to continue the revision process" (para. 5).

(6) April 2013: 22nd session of the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice

Based on the recommendations of the IEGM in Buenos Aires, a draft Resolution was submitted by Argentina, Brazil and Thailand to the Crime Commission from 23 to 26 April 2013 in Vienna. The Commission adopted the Resolution "Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners" on 26 April 20, (co-)sponsored by 19 Member States. It was adopted by the UN General Assembly on 18 December 2013. 21

The Resolution extended the mandate of the expert group, with a view to reporting to the 23rd session of the Crime Commission (OP6). It reiterated and specified the areas and Rules for revision as follows:

- (a) Respect for prisoners' inherent dignity and value as human beings (rules 6, para. 1; 57-59; and 60, para. 1):
- (b) Medical and health services (rules 22–26; 52; 62; and 71, para. 2);
- (c) Disciplinary action and punishment, including the role of medical staff, solitary confinement and reduction of diet (rules 27, 29, 31 and 32);
- (d) Investigations of all deaths in custody, as well as of any signs or allegations of torture or inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment of prisoners (rules 7, proposed 44 bis and proposed 54 bis);
- (e) Protection and special needs of vulnerable groups deprived of their liberty, taking into consideration countries in difficult circumstances (rules 6 and 7);
- (f) The right of access to legal representation (rules 30; 35, para. 1; 37; and 93);
- (g) Complaints and independent inspection (rules 36 and 55);

¹⁴ Working paper prepared by the Secretariat, 6 November 2012, UNODC/CCPCJ/EG.6/2012/2, <u>www.unodc.org/documents/justice-and-prison-reform/EGM-Uploads/E-V1257278.pdf</u>, accessed 23 March 2014.

and-prison-reform/EGM-Uploads/E-V1257278.pdf, accessed 23 warcn 2014.

15 Summaries of replies from Member States to the Notes Verbales of 8 March 2011 and 11 September 2012, 30 November 2012, UNODC/CCPCJ/EG.6/2012/CRP.1, www.unodc.org/documents/justice-and-prison-reform/EGM-Uploads/IEGM-SMR_II_CRP_prepared_by_the_Secretariat.pdf, accessed 23 March 2014.

¹⁶ UNODC/CCPCJ/EG.6/2012/INF/3, 26 November 2012, www.unodc.org/documents/justice-and-prison-reform/EGM-Uploads/SPT-INF-3.pdf, accessed 23 March 2014.

WNODC/CCPCJ/EG.6/2012/NGO/1, 21 November 2012, available in Arabic, English, French, Spanish and Russian;
 www.unodc.org/documents/justice-and-prison-reform/EGM-Uploads/NGO-1_PRI-ESSEXUNI.pdf,
 accessed 23 March 2014.
 These include additional submissions of the NGOs undersigning this Joint Briefing: Friends World Committee for Consultation and

[&]quot;These include additional submissions of the NGOs undersigning this Joint Briefing: Friends World Committee for Consultation and Penal Reform International, Amnesty International, the American Civil Liberties Union; see "Other submissions" at www.unodc.org/unodc/en/justice-and-prison-reform/expert-group-meetings5.html# ftn3, accessed 23 March 2014.

www.unodc.org/unodc/en/justice-and-prison-reform/expert-group-meetings5.html#_ftn3, accessed 23 March 2014.

19 Report on the meeting of the Expert Group on the Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners held in Buenos Aires from 11 to 13 December 2012, 27 December 2012, UNODC/CCPCJ/EG.6/2012/4, www.unodc.org/documents/justice-and-prison-reform/EGM-Uploads/E.version.pdf, accessed 23 March 2014.

reform/EGM-Uploads/E.version.pdf, accessed 23 March 2014.

20 "Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners", UN Doc. E/CN.15/2013/L.22/Rev.1, 25 April 2013. Available at http://www.un.org/Docs/journal/asp/ws.asp?m=E/CN.15/2013/L.22/Rev.1, accessed 21 January 2015.

²¹ UN General Assembly Resolution, 'Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners', UN Doc. A/RES/68/190, 11 February 2013. Available at http://www.un.org/en/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/68/190, accessed 19 January 2015.

- (h) The replacement of outdated terminology (rules 22-26, 62, 82 and 83 and various others);
- (i) Training of relevant staff to implement the Standard Minimum Rules (rule 47)"22

Member States were invited to continue engagement in the revision process, including by submitting to the UNODC Secretariat proposals for revision in the nine areas identified (OP 8). The UNODC Secretariat was requested to prepare a working paper integrating the inputs from Member States, for consideration at the next expert group meeting (OP9).

(7) March 2014: Third intergovernmental expert group meeting, Vienna

On 25-28 March 2014 the third intergovernmental expert group meeting (IEGM) on the revision of the Standard Minimum Rules was held in Vienna. As requested by the Resolution of the Crime Commission, ²³ the 33 individual and joint proposals for revision submitted by 39 Member States had been compiled by the UNODC Secretariat in a Working paper. ²⁴

In addition to Member States various intergovernmental organisations (IGOs) made submissions. The UN Special Rapporteur on Torture, Juan Méndez, had issued a report on the SMR review to the UN General Assembly in August 2013, ²⁵ stating that the revision process is "an opportunity to enhance understanding of the scope and nature of the prohibition against torture and other ill-treatment, (...) and effective measures to prevent them." Other intergovernmental bodies that made submissions included the UN Subcommittee for the Prevention of Torture, UN Committee against Torture, the World Health Organization, the UN Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights. Various submissions were also made by NGOs.

Revised text was agreed by the expert group for Rule 6(1) on discrimination grounds, Rule 22(1) on medical and health care services, Rule 27(1) on disciplinary punishment, Rule 30 on the right to access legal representation and Rules 57 to 60 on the purpose of a sentence of imprisonment and rehabilitation. The expert group also agreed on a new provision on body searches (Rule 34bis). Some other Rules were discussed, but no final text was agreed on by the experts (Rules 22, 29, 30, 37bis).

At the closing of the meeting 20 delegations from a number of regions reiterated their support for the revision and their commitment to continuing the revision process.

(8) May 2014: 23rd session of the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice

Following the submission of the third IEGM report, ²⁶ the Crime Commission adopted the Resolution, "Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners" with the co-sponsorship of 28 Member States, ²⁷ subsequently adopted by the UN General Assembly on 18 December 2014. ²⁸ The Resolution extended the mandate of the expert group, "with the aim of reaching consensus" and requesting it to report to the United Nations Congress on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice in April 2015 and to the Crime Commission in May 2015 (OP 11). The Resolution takes into account the progressive development of international standards on the treatment of prisoners since 1955 by listing the relevant international instruments in its preamble (pp. 5) and reiterates that "any changes to the Rules should not lower any of the existing standards" (OP 6).

For the future deliberations of the expert group the Resolution invited "the Bureau of the third IEGM, with

²² *Ibid.*, OP 5.

²³ See footnotes 22 and 23.

Working paper prepared by the Secretariat for the third Expert Group Meeting, UN Doc. UNODC/CCPCJ/EG.6/2014/CRP.1, 29
 November 2013, Available at: www.unodc.org/documents/justice-and-prison-reform/EGM-Uploads/IEGM_Brazil_Jan_2014/UNODCCCPCJEG62014-CRP1-E-V1388548.pdf, accessed 23 March 2014.
 Interim report of the Special Rapporteur on torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, UN Doc

²⁵ Interim report of the Special Rapporteur on torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, UN Doc A/68/295, 9 August 2013, available at http://daccess-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/N13/422/85/PDF/N1342285.pdf?OpenElement?, accessed 23 March 2014.

²⁶ Report of the open-ended intergovernmental Expert Group on the Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners at its

Report of the open-ended intergovernmental Expert Group on the Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners at its third meeting, UN Doc. E/CN.15/2014/19, 10 April 2014, available in English at <a href="https://www.unodc.org/documents/commissions/CCPCJ/CCPCJ/Sessions/CCPCJ/23/E-CN15-2014-19/E-CN15-2014-19/E-cN1

https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/commissions/CCPCJ/session/23 Session 2014/CCPCJ 23-Index.html, accessed 15 January 2015.

27 Argentina, Ecuador, Italy, Nicaragua, Norway, Poland, South Africa, Spain, Uruguay, Thailand, Chile, Croatia, Dominican Republic, Mexico and Switzerland, Turkey, Brazil, Germany, Austria, Finland, France, Japan, Guatemala, US, Burkina Faso, El Salvador, Vanezuela and Indonesia

Venezuela and Indonesia. ²⁸ See, 'Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners', UN Doc. A/C.3/69/L.3, 26 September 2014, available at http://www.un.org/qa/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/C.3/69/L.3, accessed 31 March 2015.

the assistance of the UNODC Secretariat, to prepare a revised consolidated working paper" (OP 12), consisting of the draft revised Rules, reflecting the progress achieved so far, including the recommendations made by the Expert Group at its meetings in Buenos Aires and Vienna and taking into account proposals for revision put forward by Member States. Finally the Crime Commission expressed its gratitude to the government of South Africa for its intention to host the next expert group meeting (OP 13).

(9) March 2015: Fourth intergovernmental expert group meeting, Cape Town

On 2-5 March 2015 the Government of South Africa hosted the fourth intergovernmental expert group meeting (IEGM) in Cape Town. The meeting was attended by 90 representatives of 41 Member States, by the UN Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), the World Health Organization, the European Committee for the Prevention of Torture (CPT), the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) and nine NGOs. Deliberations were guided by the revised consolidated working paper drafted by the Bureau. ²⁹

At this fourth meeting the experts reached consensus on all Rules identified for revision within the relevant thematic areas. The report recommends that the Crime Commission transmit the revised Rules to the Economic and Social Council for approval and subsequent adoption by the General Assembly as the "United Nations Revised Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners" to be known as "Mandela Rules", to "honour the legacy of the late President of South Africa, Mr. Nelson Rolihlahla Mandela, who spent so many years of His life in prison."

The expert group also recommends to "entrust the Secretariat, under the supervision of the Bureau, with the task of ensuring consistency between the revised rules and the whole set of the Standard Minimum Rules as well as adequacy of relocation of rules identified for relocation" (para. 11).

The report further suggests that the Commission consider recommending that Nelson Mandela International Day be also known as "Mandela Prisoner Rights Day" (para. 10).

(10) April and May 2015: UN Crime Congress (Doha) and Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice (Vienna)

Pursuant to the 2014 Resolution the expert group ³² has submitted its report for consideration to the 13th UN Crime Congress in Doha, Qatar (12-19 April 2015) and to the 24th session of the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice (18 – 22 May 2015) in Vienna.

A brief report of the outcome of the fourth expert group meeting will be presented during Workshop A at the Crime Congress, on the "Role of the United Nations standards and norms in crime prevention and criminal justice in support of effective, fair, humane and accountable criminal justice systems".

B. Civil society perspective

Throughout the process, a group of NGOs has argued,³³ that while the SMR still hold value today, in the nearly 60 years since their adoption there have been major developments in human rights and criminal justice, resulting in unacceptable flaws and gaps in a modern set of standards. NGOs have argued that this is problematic given that the Rules are often referred to as a primary source of standards relating to treatment in detention. In many countries, the SMR are the only standards available to prisoners regarding

²⁹ Working paper prepared by the bureau of the third meeting of the Expert Group, 12 January 2015, UNODC/CCPCJ/EG.6/2015/2, http://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/justice-and-prison-reform/expert-group-meetings-8.html, accessed 31 March 2015.

³⁰ Report on the meeting of the Expert Group on the Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners held in Cape Town, South Africa, from 2 to 5 March 2015, UN Doc. E/CN.15/2015/17, 9 April 2015, available at http://www.un.org/Docs/journal/asp/ws.asp?m=E/CN.15/2015/17, accessed 8 April 2015.

³¹ Paragraph 9 of the Report on the fourt meeting of the Expert Group, see link under footnote 30.

³² See, 'Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners', UN Doc. A/C.3/69/L.3, 26 September 2014, available at http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/C.3/69/L.3, accessed 31 March 2015.

³³ See Joint Statement submitted by Amnesty International (AI), Conectas Direitos Humanos, the Centro Regional de Derechos Humanos y Justicia de Género, the Friends World Committee for Consultation (Quakers) and Penal Reform International (PRI), E/CN.15/2012/NGO/4, 20 April 2012, available at: http://www.un.org/Docs/journal/asp/ws.asp?m=E/CN.15/2012/NGO/4. – See also Joint oral NGO statement to the Crime Commission on 27 April 2012, available at: www.penalreform.org/resource/joint-ngo-statement-crime-commission-april-2012-review/, and oral statement to the 25th Session of the UN Human Rights Council submitted by Penal Reform International, American Civil Liberties Union, Amnesty International, Centro de Estudios Legales y Sociales, Conectas, the International Commission of Jurists, and the International Commission of Catholic Prison Pastoral Care, available at: www.penalreform.org/resource/statement-human-rights-council-march-2014-revision-process.

their treatment in detention, and in other countries the Rules are used as the "blueprint" for national prison rules. Moreover, the SMR are one of the key frameworks used by national, regional and international monitoring and inspection mechanisms in assessing the treatment of prisoners. It is therefore crucial that this framework is consistent with current standards of human rights and criminal justice.

NGOs have also reiterated throughout the process that any changes must not lower existing standards, and that, if the Standard Minimum Rules still hold value today, it is because the drafters of the current Rules were visionary and far-sighted, in times which were no less difficult economically and politically between 1949 and 1955.

NGOs have therefore advocated for a progressive revision of the Rules that take into account the extensive developments in international standards. Not all recommendations put forward (see below regarding the 'Essex Paper') have been accepted and incorporated in the text of the revised SMR recommended by the IEGM, and negotiated text was inevitably often a result of compromise.

Nevertheless, the NGO group welcomes the text agreed by the fourth IEGM as a significant improvement in the areas under revision, providing greater protection for persons deprived of liberty and recognition of their rights as well as more up-to-date guidance to prison administrations. This group of NGOs therefore calls on the Crime Commission at their 24th session in May 2015 to endorse the recommendations of the IEGM and transmit the revised Standard Minimum Rules to the General Assembly for adoption as agreed by the expert group at their fourth meeting in Cape Town. The group strongly welcomes and supports the recommendations regarding naming the revised Rules as the "Mandela Rules" in recognition of Nelson Mandela's 27 years in prison where he suffered hunger, forced labour and physical abuse as well as solitary confinement.

'Essex Paper' Recommendations

Concrete suggestions for a possible revised text of the Rules in the key areas identified at the first IEGM had been discussed by 28 experts at two meetings at the University of Essex, convened on 3 and 4 October 2012 and on 12-13 September 2013. The 'Summary of outcomes' (known as the 'Essex Paper') from these meeting of independent experts had been submitted to the IEGMs in Buenos Aires³⁴ and Vienna³⁵ respectively. The 'Essex Papers' sought to identify current international norms and standards in the areas proposed for revision, to recommend specific changes to the Rules and to elaborate on their rationale.

Further recommendations to the expert group were submitted to the second and third IEGMs from within this group of NGOs by Friends World Committee for Consultation, Penal Reform International, Amnesty International, the American Civil Liberties Union, the Centro de Estudios Legales y Sociales (CELS) and Conectas Direitos Humanos.³⁶

End/.

³⁶ See "Other submissions" at https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/justice-and-prison-reform/expert-group-meetings6.html for 2nd IEGM, accessed 23 March 2014.

³

³⁴ Report on the meeting of the Expert Group on the Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners held in Buenos Aires from 11 to 13 December 2012, 27 December 2012, UNODC/CCPCJ/EG.6/2012/4, Para. 32, available at: www.unodc.org/documents/justice-and-prison-reform/EGM-Uploads/E.version.pdf, accessed 22 March 2014. See also the Resolution adopted by the Economic and Social Council on 25 July 2013, E/RES/2013/35, footnote 19, available at www.unodc.org/documents/commissions/ECOSOC-Res-2010-20/ECOSOC-Res-Dec-2013/E RES 2013 35.pdf, accessed 24 March 2014.

³⁵ Second Report of Essex Expert Group on the Review of the Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners, Prepared by Penal Reform International / Essex University, UNODC/CCPCJ/EG.6/2014/NGO.7, 20 March 2014, www.unodc.org/documents/justice-and-prison-reform/EGM-Uploads/PRI_ESSEX-2nd-paper.pdf, accessed 23 March 2014.